

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Article

Modification in Toxicity of L-Histidine-Incorporated ZnO Nanoparticles toward *Escherichia coli*

3 Shraddha Mahakal, Habib M. Pathan, Mohit Prasad, Sachin Rondiya, Shashikant P. Patole,* 4 and Sandesh R. Jadkar*



18 investigated using *E. coli*. The solution with 100 μ g/mL ZnO in sterile distilled water showed up to 94% growth inhibition of *E.* 19 *coli*, establishing antibacterial activity. However, L-histidine incorporated in ZnO showed reduced antibacterial activity with the 20 increase of the concentration of L-histidine in ZnO. Furthermore, flow cytometry studies during the interaction of ZnO and *E. coli* 21 confirmed the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), validating its antibacterial activity. The interaction of L-histidine-22 incorporated ZnO and *E. coli* showed declining ROS with the increase in the L-histidine concentration, indicating a ZnO toxicity 23 reduction.

1. INTRODUCTION

24 Zinc oxide (ZnO) is a II-VI compound semiconductor with a 25 direct, wide band gap of 3.34 eV at room temperature and an 26 excitation binding energy of 60 meV, which is 2.4 times the 27 thermal energy at room temperature.¹ Recently, ZnO and 28 ZnO-based materials have been proven to be promising 29 candidates for several functional applications such as semi-30 conductor designing,² UV detectors,³ antibacterial purposes,⁴ 31 biosensors,⁵ drug delivery,⁶ light-emitting diodes (LEDs), solar 32 cells,⁷ and so forth. ZnO has been studied extensively in bulk, 33 thin films, or nanoparticles/nanostructures. It is a well-known 34 fact that ZnO at the nanoscale dimension exhibits exceptional 35 optical, electrical, magnetic, and chemical (viz. catalytic 36 activity, etc.) properties when compared to its bulk counterpart 37 of the same chemical composition.⁸ Nanoscale ZnO has been 38 realized in various forms/structures, such as nanorods, 39 nanobelts, nanowires, nanocombs, nanoflowers, and so forth. 40 It is mainly achieved by controlling the different physicochem-41 ical parameters, including the solvent system, precursor 42 moieties, temperature, pH conditions during the synthesis of 43 nanoparticles, and so forth.

17 XPS analysis. The microbial activity of these samples was

44 Several methods like chemical vapor deposition (CVD),⁹ 45 metal–organic CVD (MOCVD),¹⁰ pulsed laser deposition (PLD),¹¹ sol-gel,¹² hydrothermal, solvothermal,¹³ precipita- 46 tion,¹⁴ and thermal decomposition methods¹⁵ are routinely 47 adopted to synthesize ZnO nanoparticles in the desired shape 48 and size.¹⁶ Based on the application, the appropriate 49 methodology is adopted. Recently, the bioinspired synthesis 50 of metal-oxide-based bioinorganic nanocomposites, especially 51 nanosized ZnO, has drawn significant interest.^{17,18} Integrating 52 various amino acids,¹⁹ surfactants,²⁰ or peptides²¹ during 53 nanoparticle synthesis leads to different physiobiological 54 properties of the newly synthesized nanocomposites. Gluta- 55 mine, histidine, and glycine were employed to study the variation 56 in the morphology of ZnO synthesized by the hydrothermal 57 method.²² The integration of amino acids (due to their 58 zwitterionic nature) leads to a strong surface association with 59 the nanoparticles.²³ Gerstel et al.²⁴ have systematically studied ₆₀ the suitability of amino acids and dipeptides as structure- 61

Received: February 21, 2023 Accepted: June 2, 2023



⁶² directing agents for the deposition of ZnO films. Brif et al.²⁵ ⁶³ have successfully demonstrated the incorporation of amino ⁶⁴ acids into ZnO and, consequentially, the engineering of its ⁶⁵ band gap due to the induced strain. Umetsu et al.²⁶ used ⁶⁶ artificial peptides to synthesize flower-like ZnO nanostructures ⁶⁷ at room temperature. In a review, Limo et al.²⁷ highlighted ⁶⁸ using biomolecules to control growth, modify the phys-⁶⁹ icochemical properties, and their applications as biocompo-⁷⁰ sites. ZnO exhibits excellent antibacterial activity against *E. coli*, ⁷¹ *Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus aureus, Micrococcus*,²⁸ *P. mirabilis*, ⁷² and *Salmonella typhi*.²⁹

In the present work, we report the influence of L-histidine-73 74 incorporated ZnO on the antibacterial activity of a Gram-75 negative model organism, E. coli. For this, pristine-ZnO and L-76 histidine-incorporated ZnO were prepared for different concentrations of L-histidine. Furthermore, the structural and 77 78 morphological properties of pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-79 incorporated ZnO were investigated using various techniques. 80 Finally, the antibacterial activity of pristine-ZnO and L-81 histidine incorporated ZnO was analyzed using E. coli. We 82 found that the antibacterial activity of L-histidine-incorporated 83 ZnO critically depends on the concentration of L-histidine. It 84 decreases with an increase in L-histidine concentration. The 85 validation of antibacterial activity was confirmed by generating 86 reactive oxygen species (ROS) using flow cytometry studies. 87 Furthermore, the interaction of L-histidine-incorporated ZnO 88 and E. coli shows decreasing ROS with increased L-histidine 89 concentration, indicating a reduction in ZnO toxicity.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials and Synthesis. The protocol used for synthesizing pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO powder using an open aqueous solution bath technique is provided in Supporting Information Figure S1. The ZnO sample prepared without L-histidine is labeled as pristine-ZnO. The concentration of L-histidine in ZnO was varied as 0.025, 6 0.05, 0.075, and 1.0 mg/mL, and the corresponding samples were labeled as ZnO-H1, ZnO-H2, ZnO-H3, and ZnO-H4, 8 respectively.

2.2. Characterization. X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Bruker 99 100 D8-ADVANCE) was used to study the structural properties of 101 the synthesized samples. Ni-filtered Cu-K $_{\alpha}$ radiation at 1.542 Å 102 was used for recording the XRD pattern in the θ -2 θ scanning 103 mode. The recorded XRD data was subsequently subjected to 104 Rietveld refinement for further analysis. The morphology of 105 the synthesized particles was studied using field emission 106 scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Nova NanoSEM-450). 107 The chemical environment of Zn and O in ZnO and Zn, O, 108 and N in all the ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) samples was probed by 109 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The spectra were 110 recorded on beam line-14 installed on Indus-2 (2.5 GeV) 111 synchrotron sources at the RRCAT, Indore, India. The base ¹¹² pressure of the working chamber was 3×10^{-8} mbar. The 113 incident radiation with a photon energy of 4403 eV was used 114 as the X-ray source. The photoelectrons ejected from the 115 samples were analyzed by a concentric hemispherical analyzer 116 (15 keV Phoibos 225) having a net resolution of 1 eV and a 117 constant pass energy of 150 eV. The spectrometer was 118 calibrated using the standard Au 4f_{7/2} peak at 84.0 eV. Survey 119 scans were recorded with a step size of 0.5 eV, and the pass 120 energy was 150 eV. The C 1s peak position (the standard value 121 is 284.6 eV) was used to correct the shifts, if any, in the 122 spectral positions of Zn, O, and N caused due to charging of

the samples. Zn, O, and N spectral peaks were deconvoluted 123 using the commercial XPSPEAK41 software with a Shirley- 124 type background. The FTIR study was performed by a USINF 125 JASCO, FT/IR-6100 spectrophotometer. 126

2.3. Antibacterial Assay. 2.3.1. Microtiter Plate Assay. 127 The characterized pristine-ZnO and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) 128 samples were then used to study their biological activity against 129 E. coli. The experimental procedures adopted are as follows: 130 the microtiter plate assay was performed to evaluate the effect 131 of pristine-ZnO and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) on *E. coli*. Bacterial 132 culture was procured from the NCIM, CSIR-NCL, Pune, 133 India. The culture was grown in a nutrient broth (HiMedia, 134 India) at 37 °C under shaking conditions (150 rpm). E. coli 135 culture was further maintained as per the supplier's 136 instructions. Before using the bacterial culture, stock solutions 137 of pristine-ZnO and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) with a 200 μ g/mL 138 concentration were prepared in sterile distilled water. These 139 solutions were then ultrasonicated for 1 h for rigorous mixing. 140 Round-bottomed 96-well microtiter polystyrene plates (Tar- 141 son, India) were used for further experiments. 100 μ L of sterile 142 Muller-Hinton broth (MHB, HiMedia, India) was added to 143 each microtiter plate. 100 μ L of L-histidine with a 100 μ g/mL 144 concentration was added to the first well of the first row. It was 145 mixed thoroughly, and 100 μ L of the mixture was transferred 146 to the second well of the first row to achieve a 50 μ g/mL 147 concentration. The mixture in the second well of the first row 148 was thoroughly mixed, and 100 μ L of the solution was 149 transferred to the third well of the first row. This process was 150 repeated till the sixth well of the first row. The successive 151 twofold dilution obtained was 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, and 3.12 152 μ g/mL. After the serial dilution, 50 μ L of the overnight-grown 153 E. coli culture was used as the inoculum from wells no. 1-6 of 154 the first row. The seventh well of the first row was left as it was 155 with 150 μ L of MHB and was considered a negative control. In 156 the eighth well of the first row, 50 μ L of optical density (OD) 157 0.5 McFarland-adjusted E. coli culture was added and treated 158 as a positive control. This final volume in each well was 159 maintained at 150 μ L. The same exercise was repeated from 160 the second to the sixth row with ZnO and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 1614) solutions. The experiment was performed in triplicate. 162 These plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C, and an ELISA 163 microtiter plate reader (Spectramax M2, USA) was used to 164 measure the OD at a wavelength of 540 nm. Growth inhibition 165 of E. coli at different concentrations was calculated using³⁰ 166

percentage cell inhibition =
$$\frac{(A_{\rm t} - A_{\rm b})}{(A_{\rm c} - A_{\rm b})} \times 100\%$$
 (1) 167

where A_t is the OD of the test compound, A_b is the blank (-ve 168 control), and A_c is the OD of control (+ve control). 169

2.3.2. Morphological Study of E. coli. Scanning electron 170 microscopy (SEM) was used to study the morphological 171 changes in *E. coli* cells due to their interactions with pristine- 172 ZnO and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4). The protocol used is 173 described in brief as follows: the cells of *E. coli* were grown 174 overnight in MHB, and the OD of 0.5 was adjusted (as per the 175 McFarland standard) and used for the study. From this, 100 176 μ L of the culture was inoculated into five separate tubes having 177 sterile MHB (10 mL) with pristine-ZnO and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 178 3, 4) at a concentration of 100 μ g/mL. All these five tubes 179 were considered test samples. The sixth tube containing only 180 fresh sterile MHB (10 mL) inoculated with 100 μ L of *E. coli* 181 culture was also considered a control tube. All six tubes were 182

183 incubated at 37 °C for 3 h at 150 rpm. After incubation, all six 184 tubes were removed, and the culture broth was centrifuged at 185 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C to collect the cell pellets. The 186 pellets were then washed three times with 0.1 M phosphate 187 buffer saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) to remove the traces of MHB. 188 Then, it was fixed in 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde at 4 °C for 4 h 189 and then washed thrice with PBS buffer. Furthermore, 190 dehydration procedures were carried out gradually by treating 191 the cells with ethanol ranging from 10 to 100% (v/v) at 15 min 192 intervals. Samples treated with 100% ethanol were placed on a 193 clean glass slide to examine the morphology of *E. coli* cells (of 194 test and control) under SEM.

2.3.3. ROS Assay. ROS are chemically reactive chemical 195 196 species having oxygen. ROS are generated as a natural 197 byproduct from the normal metabolism of oxygen. Bacteria 198 are bathed in toxic surroundings having lethal stressors like 199 ROS, H₂O₂, O₂, and OH radicals. Accumulation of ROS leads 200 to lethal activity for many antimicrobial agents. We estimated 201 ROS generated in *E. coli* with the help of previously established 202 protocols described by Ramani et al.²³ In brief, *E. coli* culture 203 was grown in MHB at 37 °C with shaking at 150 rpm, and an 204 OD of 0.5 was adjusted (as per the McFarland standard) and 205 used for the experiment. E. coli cells were treated with ZnO 206 and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) with a 100 μ g/mL concentration. Then, aliquots of this treated sample were taken out after 90 207 208 min of incubation and centrifuged at 6000g for 10 min. The 209 cells were washed twice with PBS and kept in PBS with 210 dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate—a membrane soluble 211 dye (DCFH-DA)—at 37 °C in the dark condition for 45 min. 212 The specimens were centrifuged at 3000g for 5 min and stored 213 in PBS. Intracellular ROS activity was measured for all samples 214 using a flow cytometer (Life Technologies, USA). Intracellular-215 generated ROS was detected by spotting a change in the 216 fluorescence of DCFH-DA. However, the nonfluorescent 217 material 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein (H₂DCF) is produced by 218 the reaction of intracellular esterase and DCFH-DA. Intra-219 cellular H₂DCF and its oxidation by ROS contribute to 220 fluorescent DCF. It is supervised by a change in fluorescence at 221 530 nm if the sample is excited by a 488 nm radiation. The 222 observed fluorescence represents a count of ROS in the cell.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

f1

3.1. XRD Analysis. The XRD pattern of pristine-ZnO and t-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples is shown in Figure 1. Observation of different Bragg reflections indicates that pristine-ZnO and L-histidine incorporated ZnO are polycrystaline. All the diffraction peaks can be indexed to the wurtzite structure of ZnO (JCPDS data card # 36-1451) with the space group $P6_3mc$. No other Bragg diffraction peaks corresponding to either Zn, Zn–OH, Zn(OH)₂, or any different phases or complexes were detected, confirming the formation of singlephase pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO.

Using the fwhm corresponding to the Bragg reflections of the planes (10 $\overline{10}$), (0002), and (10 $\overline{11}$) in pristine-ZnO and Las histidine-modified ZnO, the average particle size (t) is estimated from the modified *Scherrer* formula

$$t = \left(\frac{K\lambda}{\sqrt{\beta_{\rm M}^2 \beta_{\rm S}^2 \operatorname{Coc}\theta}}\right) + \eta \tan$$
²³⁷ (2)

238 where *K* is the shape factor (0.9), β_M is the measured width of 239 the diffraction line, β_S is the measured width of the diffraction



Figure 1. XRD of pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples.

line from the standard sample, and η is the strain in the 240 specimen. The estimated values of average particle size using 241 the modified *Scherrer* formula are shown in Table 1. 242 ti

The XRD patterns of all samples were then subjected to 243 *Rietveld* analysis. Figure 2 shows the *Rietveld* fittings to the 244 f2 XRD patterns. A black line with filled dots indicates the 245 recorded data points, while the solid red line indicates the 246 *Rietveld* fits. The blue line below each XRD pattern suggests 247 the difference in the observed and the *Rietveld* fitted curves, 248 which means good *Rietveld* fitting. Above the blue line are 249 green markers, which show the expected Bragg diffracted peak 250 positions corresponding to different crystallographic orienta-251 tions of wurtzite ZnO. 252

Table 1 shows the lattice parameters *a* and *c* and the volume 253 of a unit cell of pristine-ZnO and ZnO-H*i* (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) 254 samples estimated from the *Rietveld* analysis. It is observed that 255 the lattice parameters of L-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples 256 increase compared to the pristine-ZnO. The volume of the unit 257 cell also increases substantially. It is an indication of the 258 successful incorporation of L-histidine into ZnO. It is 259 interesting to note that the c/a ratio in all the cases is nearly 260 equal to 1.60, which matches the wurtzite ZnO structure. Due 261 to increased lattice parameters, the unit cell and ZnO lattice 262 volume are expected to be strained, as observed by Brif et al.³¹ 263

For further microstructural analysis, the average crystallite 264 size and strain developed in the samples were calculated using 265 the Williamson–Hall (W–H) method. 32 The observed fwhm 266 broadening of the peaks is the influence of the instrument and 267 sample-dependent factors. To disintegrate the effect of 268 instrumental broadening, the observed fwhm is corrected using 269

Table 1. Lattice Parameters (a and c) and Their Ratio (c/a) and Volume of the Unit Cell (V) of Pristine-ZnO and L-Histidine-Incorporated ZnO Samples

sample	a (Å)	c (Å)	(c/a) ratio	V (Å ³)	<i>t</i> (nm)		strain
					Scherrer formula	W–H plot	
ZnO-pristine	3.26	5.25	1.610	48.39	84	89	1.0×10^{-3}
ZnO-H1	3.30	5.31	1.609	50.07	80	80	1.3×10^{-3}
ZnO-H2	3.35	5.34	1.594	51.90	53	51	1.5×10^{-3}
ZnO-H3	3.35	5.36	1.600	52.10	45	48	1.9×10^{-3}
ZnO-H4	3.36	5.38	1.601	52.60	39	43	2.3×10^{-3}



Figure 2. Rietveld-fitted X-ray pattern of pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples.

$$\beta = \left[\left(\beta^2 \right)_{\text{Measured}} - \left(\beta^2 \right)_{\text{Instrumental}} \right]^{1/2}$$
(3)

271 where $\beta_{\text{Instrumental}}$ is the broadening contribution due to the 272 instrument. The strain induced in the host has been analyzed 273 by the uniform deformation model of the Williamson–Hall 274 method, wherein $\beta \cos \theta$ is plotted versus 4 sin θ (Figure 3). The crystalline size and strain are extracted from the 275 intercept and slope of the linear fit, respectively, according to 276 the equation 277

$$\beta \cos \theta = \frac{k\lambda}{D} + 4 \sin \theta \tag{4}_{275}$$



Figure 3. Variation of $\beta \cos \theta$ as a function of $4 \sin \theta$ of pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples.

It is noted that the microstrain increases with an increase in L-histidine concentration, whereas the average crystallite size keeperfunction in the strain of the strain of the strain size. Table 1 shows the decrease in the average crystallite size size. Table 1 shows the decrease in the average crystallite size of ZnO with an increase in the concentration of L-histidine in the strain generated in ZnO due to the presence of histidine around the crystallites prevents the host's tendency to static form larger crystals or prevents the agglomeration among the particles.³⁰

3.2. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy. 291 FTIR spectra of L-histidine, pristine-ZnO, and ZnO-H*i* (i = 1, 292 2, 3, 4) samples were recorded in the 400–4000 cm⁻¹ range 293 and are shown in Figure 4.

The FTIR spectra show that the band observed from 430 to 2.94 560 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the O-Zn-O stretching mode in 295 ZnO.33 The out-of-plane bending of the -CH bond and 296 antisymmetric stretching of CO_2^{2-} are observed at ~875 and 2.97 ~1572 cm⁻¹, respectively. The vibration of the Zn–O bond is 298 indicated by peaks that appeared at \sim 1388 and 1514 cm^{-1,34} It 299 confirms the integration of L-histidine with ZnO. The CH₃ 300 301 rocking mode is observed at ~1014 cm⁻¹.³³ At ~1760 cm⁻¹, 302 the C=O vibrational mode is observed.³⁵ Finally, the C= 303 O=C vibrational mode is observed at ~2384 cm^{-1.36} The 304 absorption band at \sim 3300–3500 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the –OH 305 group and water on the surface of ZnO nanoparticles.³³ The 306 prominent peaks in FTIR spectra are numbered, and their 307 spectral assignment is listed in Table 2.



Figure 4. FTIR spectra of (a) L-histidine, (b) pristine-ZnO, (c) ZnO-H1, (d) ZnO-H2, (e) ZnO-H3, and (f) ZnO-H4.

Table 2. FTIR Transmission Peak Identification

peak	wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)	assignment
1	430-560	O-Zn-O
2	875	out-of-plane bending of –CH
3	1014	CH ₃ rocking
4	1388	Zn-N vibration bond
5	1514	
6	1572	antisymmetric stretching of CO_2^{2-}
7	1760	C=O group
8	2384	C = O = C
9	3300-3500	-OH group or water molecule

3.3. FESEM Analysis. The surface morphologies of 308 pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples were 309 investigated using FESEM. Before imaging, films were coated 310 with Pt by the sputtering method. Figure 5 shows FESEM 311 f5 images of pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO 312 samples. The FESEM images for all samples are homogeneous, 313 dense, and free from flaws, cracks, and protrusions. However, 314 the surface morphology changes significantly with an increase 315 in the concentration of L-histidine. The following observations 316 are made from the FESEM analysis; (i) for the pristine-ZnO 317 sample (Figure 5a), a well-defined hexagonal morphology of 318 the crystallites in the form of disks or solid rods is observed. In 319 addition, these crystallites are interlinked, forming more 320 oversized agglomerates. (ii) For L-histidine-incorporated ZnO 321 samples (Figure 5b-e), the typical hexagonal features of the 322 crystallites are no longer visible. The size of the layered 323 structures was found to reduce with the increase in histidine 324 concentration during synthesis. In addition, these crystallites 325 are interlinked, forming more oversized agglomerates. 326

3.4. XPS Analysis. The electronic structure and chemical ³²⁷ properties of pristine-ZnO and L-Histidine-incorporated ZnO ³²⁸ samples have been analyzed using high-resolution XPS. XPS ³²⁹ spectra were calibrated with the C 1s peak (284.6 eV) as a ³³⁰ reference. The typical XPS spectrum of ZnO-H4 is shown in ³³¹ Figure 6. ^{332 for 332 for 333 for}

XPS survey scan of ZnO-H4 recorded in the range of 0-333 1250 eV is shown in Figure 6a. As seen, the peaks 334 corresponding to Zn (2s, 2p, 3s, 3p, and 3d), C 1s, N 1s, 335 and O 1s orbitals appear in the XPS spectra.³⁷ No other peaks 336

f4



Figure 5. FESEM images of (a) pristine-ZnO, (b) ZnO-H1, (c) ZnO-H2, (d) ZnO-H3, and (e) ZnO-H4.



Figure 6. XPS spectra of the ZnO-H4 (a) survey scan in the range 0-1250 eV, (b) narrow scan of Zn 2p3/2 in the range of 10,212–2032 eV, (c) narrow scan of O 1s in the range of 522–540 eV, and (d) narrow scan of N 1s in the range of 393–403 eV.

³³⁷ were observed, signifying the chemical purity of ZnO-H4. ³³⁸ Moreover, the spin-orbit-coupled energy states, which ³³⁹ correspond to Zn $2p_{3/2}$ and Zn $2p_{1/2}$, were observed at ³⁴⁰ ~1020.98 and ~1044.31 eV, respectively, with a characteristic ³⁴¹ difference of 23.33 eV.³⁷ It reveals that Zn is present in the ³⁴² Zn²⁺ state in ZnO.³⁷ Figure 6b shows the deconvoluted Zn 2p ³⁴³ spectra. The Zn 2p3/2 spectra could be deconvoluted into ³⁴⁴ three Gaussian-Lorentzian curves depicted as Zn(I), Zn(II), and Zn(III), positioned at ~1019.5, ~1022.5, and ~1025.0 eV, 345 respectively. These peaks can be attributed to Zn in layered 346 basic Zn nitrate, Zn^{2+} in ZnO, and Zn bonded as Zn-O-C= 347 O.^{27,37-40} Figure 6c shows the deconvoluted O 1s spectra of 348 the ZnO-H4 sample. The spectra can be deconvoluted into 349 three Gaussian–Lorentzian curves with their peaks; O(I), 350 O(II), and O(III) are positioned at ~530.0, ~532.5, and 351 ~534.5 eV, respectively. The observed values of binding 352

353 energy are attributed to O²⁻ (lattice oxygen present in perfect 354 symmetry), O²⁻ (lattice oxygen in distorted symmetry), and 355 the loosely bound oxygen such as adsorbed CO, adsorbed O_{2y} 356 or adsorbed H_2O on the ZnO surface, respectively.^{25,27,35} 357 Thus, oxygen exists in three different chemical environments 358 with varying contributions to the ZnO sample. However, 359 Stevens et al.⁴¹ have shown that the COO- group in L-360 histidine indicates only a single O 1s peak at ~531.3 eV, which 361 appears to have been overlaid by the O_2 -peaks at ~530.0 and 362 532.5 eV. The observation of the N 1s signal in the survey scan 363 shows the presence of L-histidine in ZnO. Figure 6d shows that 364 the deconvoluted N1s spectra of the ZnO-H4 sample can be 365 deconvoluted into three Gaussian–Lorentzian curves at ~396, 366 ~397, and ~399 eV. These peaks correspond to the N-Zn, $_{367}$ N–C (N=C–NH of histidine), and N–H (C–NH⁺ of L- $_{368}$ histidine) bonds, respectively.^{10,42} Furthermore, a similar N 1s 369 peak has been observed in all ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) samples. 370 These observations of core-level spectra of Zn, O, and N atoms 371 reveal the incisively complex bonding of the constituent atoms 372 in the synthesized samples.

3.5. Microtiter Plate Assay. Various mechanisms have 373 374 been reported in the literature regarding the antibacterial 375 activity of nanomaterials.43 It includes the direct physical 376 interaction of extremely sharp edges of nanomaterials with a cell wall membrane,⁴⁴ ROS generation,^{45,46} trapping the 377 bacteria within the aggregated nanomaterials,47 oxidative 378 379 stress,⁴⁸ interruptions in the glycolysis process of the 380 bacteria,⁴⁹ DNA damaging,⁵⁰ Zn ion release,⁵¹ contributions ³⁸¹ in generation/explosion of nanobubbles, ⁵² and so forth. Single 382 or multiple modes of mechanism may occur during the 383 antibacterial activity of nanomaterials. Figure 7 shows the



Figure 7. Variation in inhibition of E. coli cells treated with ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples.

384 variation in percentage growth inhibition of E. coli after 385 treatment with 100 μ g/mL ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO. The reproducibility of growth inhibition of E. coli was 386 387 confirmed by repeating the experiment under the same set of parameters three times. The growth inhibition values were the 388 389 same within the experimental error $(\pm 5\%)$. The error bars in 390 the figure are derived from the differences obtained in growth 391 inhibition of *E. coli* by repeating the treatment under the same 392 set of parameters with $p \leq 0.05$. It is evident from the bar 393 graph that the ZnO solution shows the highest percentage of 394 inhibition (94%). Furthermore, the figure shows that the 395 antibacterial activity decreases consistently with an increased

concentration of histidine incorporated with ZnO. In other 396 words, the toxicity of ZnO is reduced from 94 to 89% for ZnO- 397 H1, 83% for ZnO-H2, 71% for ZnO-H3, and 62% for ZnO- 398 H4. The decrease in the antibacterial activity may be due to 399 the wrapping of the L-histidine molecule around the ZnO 400 nanoparticles after binding to it.

The intermediate layer of L-histidine reduces the interaction 402 of the ZnO particles with E. coli; hence, the toxicity of ZnO is 403 altered significantly by successfully integrating L-histidine with 404 ZnO nanocrystals, thus protecting E. coli. Dadi et al.⁵³ also 405 reported similar results of the strong antibacterial activity of 406 ZnO and CuO nanoparticles. We believe that the dominant 407 mechanism in the present work for the antibacterial activity of 408 L-histidine incorporated with ZnO is the ROS (discussed 409 later). 410

3.6. Morphological Study of E. coli. Figure 8 shows the 411 f8 scanning electron micrographs of E. coli, E. coli cells treated 412 with a 100 μ g/mL solution of ZnO, and *E. coli* cells treated 413 with a 100 μ g/mL solution of ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), 414 respectively. Figure 8a shows the rod-shaped morphology of E. 415 coli. However, the same intact morphology is no longer seen in 416 Figure 8b, which is treated with a 100 μ g/mL solution of ZnO. 417 It appears that the E. coli cells are ruptured because of ZnO. A 418 similar observation can be made from Figure 8c. However, as 419 the concentration of L-histidine incorporated with ZnO-Hi 420 increases (Figure 8d-f), the E. coli cells are not completely 421 destroyed as it is observed in ZnO and ZnO-H1 (Figure 8b,c). 422 These results suggest the antibacterial activity or toxicity 423 reduction of the L-histidine-incorporated ZnO samples. 42.4

3.7. ROS Assay. The generation of ROS is one of the 425 unique mechanisms displayed by several antimicrobial agents 426 contributing toward their toxicity in bacteria.⁵⁰ Additionally, 427 the generation of the superoxide anion (O²⁻), hydrogen 428 peroxide (H_2O_2) , and hydroxide (OH^-) also contributes to 429 the toxicity of antimicrobial agents. Sirelkhatim et al.⁵⁴ have 430 given a detailed explanation of the complete phenomenon. 431 Fluorescent marker-labeled cells or particle absorbs the light, 432 and the side scattered light intensity in flow cytometry displays 433 the cell's intracellular density. The graph of mean fluorescence 434 intensity for ZnO and other samples is shown in Figure 9. 435 f9

It was observed that there was a sharp change in the 436 fluorescence of the DCFH-DA dye when the E. coli cells were 437 treated with ZnO and ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) with a 438 concentration of 100 μ g/mL. Furthermore, the ROS generated 439 in E. coli cells was found to reduce with increased 440 concentration of L-histidine in ZnO nanoparticles. The cellular 441 interaction with these compounds is lower than in pristine- 442 ZnO, indicating the ubiquitous mechanism of cell death 443 through ROS-mediated membrane damage.²³ Furthermore, 444 the antibacterial activity reduces as the concentration of L- 445 histidine incorporated with ZnO increases. The results 446 obtained from ROS studies further support the observation 447 of the microtiter plate assay and SEM results. These studies 448 strongly suggest that combining L-histidine in ZnO reduces the 449 toxicity of ZnO to E. coli. 450

The results show a successful synthesis of pristine-ZnO and 451 L-histidine-incorporated ZnO using an open aqueous solution 452 bath technique at 95 °C for antibacterial activity with a Gram- 453 negative model organism, E. coli. Further studies can be 454 extended on antibacterial activity with a Gram-positive model 455 organism, E. coli. During the experimentation, we observed the 456 nonuniform size distribution of ZnO nanoparticles. Therefore, 457 we think that different process parameters of an open aqueous 458



Figure 8. Scanning electron micrographs presenting the (a) E. coli cells, (b) effect of ZnO on E. coli, and (c-f) effect of ZnO-Hi (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) on E. coli.



459 solution bath technique need to be studied to produce a 460 uniform size of the nanoparticles by varying the ratio of metal 461 salts, pH, and temperature during the synthesis process. The 462 investigation also can be carried out in the presence of a 463 natural stabilizing agent to produce better dispersion of ZnO 464 nanoparticles. Further studies on the antibacterial activity on 465 the efficiency of ZnO against pathogenic bacterium and fungi, 466 including the changes in ZnO morphology, can be possible for 467 understanding the inactivation effect of ZnO nanoparticles 468 against pathogenic microorganisms.

4. CONCLUSIONS

469 Pristine-ZnO and L-histidine-incorporated ZnO were synthe-470 sized using an aqueous solution bath method. XRD analysis 471 revealed the formation of polycrystalline wurtzite ZnO. The 472 increased L-histidine concentration showed changes in the 473 structural properties of ZnO-incorporated L-histidine samples. 474 FTIR analysis showed the vibration signals of Zn–O, Zn–N, 475 carboxyl groups, and -OH groups. Upon incorporation of L-476 histidine with ZnO, it showed a significant change in the 477 surface morphology. The XPS analysis revealed the bonding of 478 Zn, O, and N in three different environments. Finally, the 479 microbial activity of these samples was investigated using E. coli 480 as a Gram-negative model organism which showed up to 94% 481 growth inhibition. However, L-histidine incorporated in ZnO 482 showed reduced antibacterial activity. The flow cytometry 483 studies during the interaction of ZnO and E. coli confirmed the 484 generation of ROS, validating its antibacterial activity.

However, the generation of ROS declined with an increase 485 in L-histidine concentration, signifying a reduction in the 486 toxicity of ZnO. The results show the potential application of 487 the synthesized material in tissue engineering, medical 488 implantation, and drug delivery. 489

ASSOCIATED CONTENT 490

 Supporting Information 491 The Supporting Information is available free of charge at 492 https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.3c01183. 493

Materials and synthesis and particle size distribution 494 (PDF) 495

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors	497
Shashikant P. Patole – Department of Physics, Khalifa	498
University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi 127788,	499
<i>UAE;</i> orcid.org/0000-0001-6669-6635;	500
Email: shashikant.patole@ku.ac.ae	501
Sandesh R. Jadkar – Department of Physics, Savitribai Phule	502
Pune University, Pune 411 007, India; Email: sandesh@	503
physics.unipune.ac.in	504
Authors	504

A

Shraddha Mahakal – Department of Physics, Savitribai Phule	506
Pune University, Pune 411 007, India	507
Habib M. Pathan – Department of Physics, Savitribai Phule	508
Pune University, Pune 411 007, India	509
Mohit Prasad – Department of Physics, Savitribai Phule Pune	510
University, Pune 411 007, India; Department of Applied	511
Science and Humanities, PCCOE, Pune 411 004, India	512
Sachin Rondiya – Department of Materials Engineering,	513
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India	514
Complete contact information is available at:	515
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c01183	516

Author Contributions

Shraddha Mahakal: methodology, formal analysis, investiga- 518 tion, data curation, writing-original draft. Habib Pathan: 519 validation, formal analysis, investigation. Mohit Prasad: formal 520 analysis, investigation, and editing. Sachin Rondiya: formal 521 analysis, investigation. Shashikant Patole: writing-review and 522 editing. Sandesh Jadkar: visualization, writing-review, editing, 523 supervision, funding acquisition. 524

496

517

525 Notes

526 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

527 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

528 S.M. acknowledges the University Grants Commission 529 (UGC), Government of India, New Delhi, India, and A. A. 530 College, Manchar, Maharashtra, India, for the opportunity to 531 carry out the present research work under the faculty 532 improvement program (FIP). In addition, the authors 533 acknowledge Raja Ramanna Center for Advanced Technology 534 (RRCAT), Indore, India, for helping in recording the XPS 535 spectra. Finally, M.P. and S.J. thank the Indo-French Centre 536 for the Promotion of Advanced Research-CEFIPRA, Depart-537 ment of Science and Technology, New Delhi, for special 538 financial support. S.P.P. would like to thank Khalifa University 539 for its financial support through the internal fund for high-540 quality publications.

541 **REFERENCES**

542 (1) Speaks, D. T. Effect of concentration, aging, and annealing on 543 sol-gel ZnO and Al-doped ZnO thin films. *Int. J. Mech. Mater. Eng.* 544 **2020**, *15*, 2.

545 (2) Schneider, J. J.; Hoffmann, R. C.; Engstler, J.; Soffke, O.; 546 Jaegermann, W.; Issanin, A.; Klyszcz, A. A printed and flexible field-547 effect transistor device with nanoscale zinc oxide as active semi-548 conductor material. *Adv. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 3383–3387.

(3) Rajab, F. H.; Taha, R. M.; Khashan, K. S.; Hadi, A. A.; Mahdi, R.
O. Laser induced hydrothermal growth of ZnO rods for UV detector
application. *Opt. Quant. Electron.* 2023, 55, 208.

4) Sirelkhatim, A.; Mahmud, S.; Seeni, A.; Kaus, N. H. M.; Ann, L. Si C.; Bakhori, S. K. M.; Hasan, H.; Mohamad, D. Review on zinc oxide anaoparticles: antibacterial activity and toxicity mechanism. *Nano-Sis Micro Lett.* **2015**, *7*, 219–242.

(5) Tereshchenko, A.; Bechelany, M.; Viter, R.; Khranovskyy, V.;
Smyntyna, V.; Starodub, N.; Yakimova, R. Optical biosensors based
on ZnO nanostructures: advantages and perspectives. A review. *Sens. Actuators, B* 2016, 229, 664–677.

(6) Huang, X.; Zheng, X.; Xu, Z.; Yi, C. ZnO-based nanocarriers for
drug delivery application: From passive to smart strategies. *Int. J.*Pharm. 2017, 534, 190–194.

563 (7) Uthirakumar, P.; Kim, H. G.; Hong, C. H. Zinc oxide 564 nanostructures derived from a simple solution method for solar 565 cells and LEDs. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2009**, *155*, 910–915.

566 (8) Fan, Z.; Lu, J. G. Zinc Oxide Nanostructures: Synthesis and 567 Properties. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. **2005**, *5*, 1561–1573.

568 (9) Vega, N. C.; Straube, B.; Marin-Ramirez, O.; Comedi, D. Low 569 temperature chemical vapor deposition as a sustainable method to 570 obtain c-oriented and highly UV luminescent ZnO thin films. *Mater.* 571 *Lett.* **2023**, 333, 133684.

572 (10) Dong, Y.; Zhou, M.; Zhou, H.; Deng, Y.; Wang, X.; Zhang, X. 573 Facile synthesis of metal organic decomposition Al-doped ZnO ink 574 for inkjet printing and fabrication of highly transparent conductive 575 film. *Ceram. Int.* **2023**, *49*, 12687–12695.

576 (11) Mejri, I. H.; Omri, K.; Ghiloufi, I.; Silva, J. P. B.; Gomes, M. J. 577 M.; Mir, L. E. Resistive switching behavior in ZnO:Ca thin films 578 deposited by a pulsed laser deposition technique. *Appl. Phys. A* **2023**, 579 129, 210.

580 (12) Ayon, S. A.; Billah, M. M.; Hossain, M. N. Effect of annealing 581 temperature on structural, optical, and photocatalytic properties of 582 modified sol–gel-driven ZnO nanoparticles. *Surf. Interface Anal.* 2023, 583 1–7.

584 (13) Hu, Y.; Sun, L.; Liu, Z.; Liu, T. Controlled solvothermal 585 synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles using non-destructive Mg-based 586 channel templates for enhanced photocatalytic performance. *Mater.* 587 *Chem. Phys.* **2023**, 299, 127525.

588 (14) Lad, P.; Pathak, V.; Thakkar, A. B.; Thakor, P.; Deshpande, M. 589 P.; Pandya, S. ZnO Nanoparticles Synthesized by Precipitation Method for Solar-Driven Photodegradation of Methylene Blue Dye 590 and Its Potential as an Anticancer Agent. *Braz. J. Phys.* **2023**, *53*, 63. 591 (15) Hajiashrafi, S.; Motakef Kazemi, N. Preparation and evaluation 592 of ZnO nanoparticles by thermal decomposition of MOF-5. *Heliyon* 593 **2019**, *5*, No. e02152. 594

(16) Kołodziejczak-Radzimska, A.; Jesionowski, T. Zinc oxide-from 595 synthesis to application: A Review. *Materials* 2014, 7, 2833–2881. 596 (17) Ehsan, S.; Sajjad, M. Bioinspired synthesis of zinc oxide 597 nanoparticle and its combined efficacy with different antibiotics 598 against multidrug-resistant bacteria. *J. Biomater. Nanobiotechnology* 599 2017, 08, 159–175. 600

(18) Velmurugan, P.; Park, J.-H.; Lee, S.-M.; Jang, J.-S.; Yi, Y.-J.; 601 Han, S.-S.; Lee, S.-H.; Cho, K.-M.; Cho, M.; Oh, B.-T. 602 Phytofabrication of bioinspired zinc oxide nanocrystals for biomedical 603 application. Artif. Cells, Nanomed. Biotechnol. **2016**, 44, 1529–1536. 604 (19) Maruyama, T.; Fujimoto, Y.; Maekawa, T. Synthesis of gold 605 nanoparticles using various amino acids. J. Colloid Interface Sci. **2015**, 606

447, 254–257. 607 (20) Klekotka, U.; Satuła, D.; Basa, A.; Kalska-Szostko, B. 608

Importance of surfactant quantity and quality on growth regime of 609 iron oxide nanoparticles. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 1747. 610

(21) Nguyen, M. A.; Hughes, Z. E.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Swihart, M. T.; 611 Knecht, M. R.; Walsh, T. R. Peptide-mediated growth and dispersion 612 of Au nanoparticles in water via sequence engineering. *J. Phys. Chem.* 613 *C* 2018, *122*, 11532–11542. 614

(22) Guo, Y.; Lin, S.; Li, X.; Liu, Y. Amino acids assisted 615 hydrothermal synthesis of hierarchically structured ZnO with 616 enhanced photocatalytic activities. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *384*, 83–91. 617

(23) Ramani, M.; Ponnusamy, S.; Muthamizhchelvan, C.; Marsili, E. 618 Amino acid-mediated synthesis of zinc oxide nanostructures and 619 evaluation of their facet-dependent antimicrobial activity. *Colloids and* 620 *Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* **2014**, *117*, 233–239. 621

(24) Gerstel, P.; Hoffmann, R. C.; Lipowsky, P.; Jeurgens, L. P.; Bill, 622 J.; Aldinger, F. Mineralization from aqueous solutions of zinc salts 623 directed by amino acids and peptides. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 179–624 186. 625

(25) Brif, A.; Ankonina, G.; Drathen, C.; Pokroy, B. Bio-inspired 626 band gap engineering of zinc oxide by intracrystalline incorporation of 627 amino acids. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 477–481. 628

(26) Umetsu, M.; Mizuta, M.; Tsumoto, K.; Ohara, S.; Takami, S.; 629 Watanabe, H.; Kumagai, I.; Adschiri, T. Bioassisted room-temperature 630 immobilization and mineralization of zinc oxide-The structural 631 ordering of ZnO nanoparticles into a flower-type morphology. *Adv.* 632 *Mater.* 2005, *17*, 2571–2575. 633

(27) Limo, M. J.; Sola-Rabada, A.; Boix, E.; Thota, V.; Westcott, Z. 634 C.; Puddu, V.; Perry, C. C. Interactions between metal oxides and 635 biomolecules: From fundamental understanding to applications. 636 *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 11118–11193. 637

(28) Verma, S. K.; Jha, E.; Panda, P. K.; Das, J. K.; Thirumurugan, 638 A.; Suar, M.; Parashar, S. K. S. Molecular aspects of core-shell intrinsic 639 defect induced enhanced antibacterial activity of ZnO nanocrystals. 640 *Nanomed. J.* **2018**, *13*, 43–68. 641

(29) Babitha, N.; Priya, L. S.; Christy, S. R.; Manikandan, A.; 642 Dinesh, A.; Durka, M.; Arunadevi, S. Enhanced antibacterial activity 643 and photocatalytic properties of ZnO Nanoparticles: Pedalium murex 644 plant extract-assisted synthesis. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2019**, *19*, 645 2888–2894. 646

(30) Patel, S.; Gheewala, N.; Suthar, A.; Shah, A. In-vitro 647 cytotoxicity activity of solanum nigrum extract against hela cell line 648 and vero cell line. *Int. J. Pharm. Pharmaceut. Sci.* **2009**, *1*, 38–46. 649 (21) Pair A. Plach, L. Palerre, P. Pie insuface for solar sectors of the solar sectors

(31) Brif, A.; Bloch, L.; Pokroy, B. Bio-inspired engineering of a zinc 650 oxide/amino acid composite: synchrotron microstructure study. 651 *CrystEngComm* **2014**, *16*, 3268–3273. 652

(32) Kibasomba, P. M.; Dhlamini, S.; Maaza, M.; Liu, C. P.; Rashad, 653 M. M.; Rayan, D. A.; Mwakikunga, B. W. Strain and grain size of 654 TiO2 nanoparticles from TEM, Raman spectroscopy and XRD: The 655 revisiting of the Williamson-Hall plot method. *Results Phys.* **2018**, *9*, 656 628–635. 657 658 (33) Liang, M.; Limo, M.; Sola-Rabada, A.; Roe, M.; Perry, C. New 659 Insights into the Mechanism of ZnO Formation from Aqueous 660 Solutions of Zinc Acetate and Zinc Nitrate. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 661 4119–4129.

662 (34) Lavand, A. B.; Malghe, Y. S. Synthesis, characterization and 663 visible light photocatalytic activity of nitrogen-doped zinc oxide 664 nanospheres. *J. Asian Ceram. Soc.* **2015**, *3*, 305–310.

665 (35) Zhu, Y.; Apostoluk, A.; Gautier, P.; Valette, A.; Omar, L.;
666 Cornier, T.; Bluet, J. M.; Masenelli-Varlot, K.; Daniele, S.; Masenelli,
667 B. Intense visible emission from ZnO/PAAX (X = H or Na)
668 nanocomposite synthesized via a simple and scalable sol-gel method.
669 Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 23557.

670 (36) Gayathri, S.; Ghosh, O. S. N.; Sathishkumar, S.; Sudhakara, P.; 671 Jayaramudu, J.; Ray, S. S.; Viswanath, A. K. Investigation of 672 physicochemical properties of Ag-doped ZnO nanoparticles prepared 673 by chemical route. *Appl. Sci. Lett.* **2015**, *1*, 8–13.

674 (37) Moulder, J. F.; Stickle, W. F.; Sobol, P. E.; Bomben, K. D. 675 *Handbook of X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy*; Chastain, J., Ed.; 676 Perkin-Elmer Corporation: Minnesota, 1992.

(38) Baier, J.; Naumburg, T.; Blumenstein, N. J.; Jeurgens, L. P. H.;
Welzel, U.; Do, T. A.; Pleiss, J. Bio-inspired mineralization of zinc
oxide in the presence of ZnO-binding peptides. *Biointerface Res. Appl. Chem.* 2012, *2*, 380–391. https://hdl.handle.net/11858/00-001M0000-000E-BAC7-6

(39) Dupin, J. C.; Gonbeau, D.; Vinatier, P.; Levasseur, A.
Systematic XPS studies of metal oxides, hydroxides, and peroxides. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2000, *2*, 1319–1324.

(40) Liang, M. K.; Limo, M. J.; Sola-Rabada, A.; Roe, M. J.; Perry, C.
686 C. New insights into the mechanism of ZnO formation from aqueous
687 solutions of zinc acetate and zinc nitrate. *Chem. Mater.* 2014, 26,
688 4119–4129.

689 (41) Stevens, J. S.; de Luca, A. C.; Pelendritis, M.; Terenghi, G.; 690 Downes, S.; Schroeder, S. L. M.; Schroeder, S. L. M. Quantitative 691 analysis of complex amino acids and RGD peptides by X-ray 692 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2013**, *45*, 693 1238–1246.

(42) Bhirud, A. P.; Sathaye, S. D.; Waichal, R. P.; Nikam, L. K.; Kale,
695 B. B. An eco-friendly, highly stable and efficient nanostructured p-type
696 N-doped ZnO photocatalyst for environmentally benign solar
697 hydrogen production. *Green Chem.* 2012, 14, 2790–2898.

698 (43) Oves, M.; Ansari, M. O.; Ansari, M. S.; Memić, A. Graphene@ 699 Curcumin-Copper Paintable Coatings for the Prevention of 700 Nosocomial Microbial Infection. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 2814.

701 (44) Akhavan, O.; Ghaderi, E. Toxicity of graphene and graphene 702 oxide nanowalls against bacteria. *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4*, 5731–5736.

703 (45) Dutta, T.; Sarkar, R.; Pakhira, B.; Ghosh, S.; Sarkar, R.; Barui, 704 A.; Sarkar, S. ROS generation by reduced graphene oxide (rGO) 705 induced by visible light showing antibacterial activity: Comparison 706 with graphene oxide (GO). *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 80192–80195.

707 (46) Lakshmi Prasanna, V.; Vijayaraghavan, R. Insight into the 708 mechanism of antibacterial activity of ZnO: Surface defects mediated 709 reactive oxygen species even in the dark. *Langmuir* **2015**, *31*, 9155– 710 9162.

711 (47) Mohammed, H.; Kumar, A.; Bekyarova, E.; Al-Hadeethi, Y.; 712 Zhang, X.; Chen, M.; Ansari, M. S.; Cochis, A.; Rimondini, L. 713 Antimicrobial mechanisms and effectiveness of graphene and 714 graphene-functionalized biomaterials. A scope review. *Front. Bioeng.* 715 *Biotechnol.* **2020**, *8*, 465.

716 (48) Liu, S.; Zeng, T.H.; Hofmann, M.; Burcombe, E.; Wei, J.; Jiang, 717 R.; Kong, J.; Chen, Y. Antibacterial activity of graphite, graphite oxide, 718 graphene oxide, and reduced graphene oxide: Membrane and 719 oxidative stress. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 6971–6980.

720 (49) Akhavan, O.; Ghaderi, E. Escherichia coli bacteria reduce 721 graphene oxide to bactericidal graphene in a self-limiting manner. 722 *Carbon* **2012**, *50*, 1853–1860.

723 (50) Kumar, A.; Pandey, A.; Singh, S. S.; Shanker, R.; Dhawan, A. 724 Engineered ZnO and TiO₂ nanoparticles induce oxidative stress and 725 DNA damage leading to reduced viability of Escherichia coli. *Free* 726 *Radic. Biol. Med.* **2011**, *51*, 1872–1881. (51) Wang, Y.; Cao, A.; Jiang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Liu, J.; Liu, Y.; Wang, 727 H. Superior antibacterial activity of zinc oxide/graphene oxide 728 composites originating from high zinc concentration localized around 729 bacteria. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2014**, *6*, 2791–2798. 730

(52) Jannesari, M.; Akhavan, O.; Madaah Hosseini, H. R.; Bakhshi, 731
B. Graphene/CuO₂ nanoshuttles with controllable release of oxygen 732
nanobubbles promoting interruption of bacterial respiration. ACS 733
Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 35813–35825. 734

(53) Dadi, R.; Azouani, R.; Traore, M.; Mielcarek, C.; Kanaev, A. 735 Antibacterial activity of ZnO and CuO nanoparticles against gram-736 positive and gram-negative strains. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2019**, *104*, 737 109968. 738

(54) Sirelkhatim, A.; Mahmud, S.; Seeni, A.; Kaus, N. H. M.; Ann, L. 739 C.; Bakhori, S. K. M.; Hasan, H.; Mohamad, D. Review on Zinc Oxide 740 Nanoparticles: Antibacterial Activity and Toxicity Mechanism. *Nano-*741 *Micro Lett.* **2015**, *7*, 219–242. 742